

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/666,371	09/20/2000	Davi Geiger	24147.00	6163	
75	90 05/22/2002				
R Lewis Gable			EXAMINER		
1133 Avenue of		ABDULSELAM, ABBAS L			
New York, NY	10036-6799		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2674		
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/22/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

All

# Office Action Summary

Control of the second of the s

Application No. 09/666,371

Applicant(s)

Geiger et al.

Examiner

Abbas Abdulselam

Group Art Unit 2674

	11	Ш	11811	111	1916	11111	1111	H
i	ш	Ш	W.	Ш			Ш	II.
1	Ш	Ш		Ш		Ш	Ш	III
- 1	ш	Ш	11111	ш		Ш	Ш	Ш
- 1	н	ш					####	ш

Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .						
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exc in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>	cept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed e, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. F	s set to expire month(s), or thirty days, whichever failure to respond within the period for response will cause the extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of					
Disposition of Claims						
	is/are pending in the application.					
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
Claim(s)						
Claim(s)						
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.					
Application Papers	are despect to restriction of discitor requirement.					
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D	trawing Review PTO-948					
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are						
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on						
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on isapproved ☐ disapproved. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).					
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED co						
☐ received.						
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serie	al Number)					
$\square$ received in this national stage application from						
*Certified copies not received:						
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
Attachment(s)						
☑ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892						
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pa	per No(s)					
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	TO 040					
<ul> <li>□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, P</li> <li>□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152</li> </ul>	1U-948					
Notice of illiornal Fatent Application, P10-152	·					
SEE OFFICE ACTION	ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES					

Art Unit: 2674

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stytz et al. (USPN 5201035) in view of Orell et al. (USPN 6373484).

Regarding claim 1, Stytz teaches segmentation of a three dimensional image along a plane or planes of interest. See col. 1, lines 36-44. Stytz teaches algorithm for volume determination in connection with location in which cutting plane takes place. See Fig 6. Stytz also teaches a three dimensional array in which voxel values are stored and are described in terms of coordinates. See col. 5, lines 25-34. Furthermore, Stytz teaches the use of storage of the display information with sufficient memory which is organized as units. See col. 2, lines 10-14 and col. 18, lines 23-28. Stytz teaches of node(11i) with their corresponding coordinates (11m) along with voxel value (11n) and voxel coordinates (11o). See Fig 11a. However, Stytz does not teach a graph structure that demonstrates nodes in terms of edges. Orell on the other hand teaches a computer program which performs graphical representation of data structure having nodes and interconnecting edges. Specifically, Orell teaches control graph management module (42) and path management

Art Unit: 2674

module (43) that position the nodes with respect to the edges in any desired fashion. See col. 10, lines 10-29, 35-40 and Fig 11.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Stytz's method of three dimensional data manipulation to include Orell's computer program including "the control graph management module". One would have been motivated in view of Orell that the computer program provides the desired configurations of edges with respect to nodes. The use of nodes and edges helps three dimensional graphic data manipulation as taught by Orell et al..

Regarding claim 2, stytz teaches a step to determine and confirm that the eight image voxel coordinates belonging to the current Oct-tree leaf node have been generated. See col. 17, lines 34-37 and Fig 11a.

Regarding claims 3 and 10, Stytz teaches the voxel data model representing data elements with array values. See col. 4, lines 35-42.

Regarding claims 4, 9 and 11, Stytz teaches the object space partition in terms of neighborhood of points. See col. 5, lines 54-66.

Regarding claims 5-6 and 12-13, Stytz teaches the application of data array for cube structure. See col. 5,,lines 47-66.

Regarding claims 7 and 14, Stytz teaches volume rendering algorithm. See Fig 10 (5N) where N stands for dimension.

Art Unit: 2674

Regarding claim 8, Orell teaches the presentation of values by colors though specific information of viewable nodes and edges. See col. 5, lines 43-45.

## Conclusion

2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered to applicant's disclosure.

The following arts are cited for further reference.

U.S. Pat. No. 4,989,142 to Crawford

U.S. Pat. No. 5,583,975 to Naka et al.

U.S. Pat. No. 5,835,617 to Ohta et al.

U.S. Pat. No. 6,323,846 to Westerman et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/666,371

Art Unit: 2674

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner 3. should be directed to Abbas Abdulselam whose telephone number is (703) 305-8591. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hierpe, can be reached at (703) 305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

Hand delivered responses should be brought to crustal park II, Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology center 2600 customer Service office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Abbas Abdulselam

Page 5

Examiner

Art Unit 2674